

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENT DAVID CHISENHALL,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAN QUENTIN STATE PRISON,

Defendant.

Case No. [20-cv-07980-SI](#)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Re: Dkt. No. 1

Plaintiff sent to the court a letter complaining about conditions at the San Quentin State Prison, where he is incarcerated. In an effort to protect his rights, a new action was opened and the letter was filed on November 9, 2020. On that date, Plaintiff was notified that he had not filed a complaint, and was given 28 days to do so or the action would be dismissed. He also was sent a notice that he had not paid the filing fee or applied for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and was given 28 days to either pay the fee or file the application or the action would be dismissed. Plaintiff did not file a complaint and did not file an *in forma pauperis* application or pay the fee. This action therefore is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to file a pleading showing that the court has subject matter jurisdiction. The clerk shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 20, 2021



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge